A Qualitative Analysis Algorithm and Its Application in Mixed Gas Identification
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Abstract—We promote a qualitative analysis algorithm to intelligently identify the ammonia, ethanol and their mixture. This work based on an electronic nose with a set of specific gas sensor array building in a temperature controlled mini-cavity. A BP neural network has been trained for identification the samples inputs which preprocessed by principal component analysis (PCA) or linear discriminant analysis (LDA) method for dimension reduction. Results showed that ammonia, ethanol and different proportions of their mixture can be identified accurately. And the LDA performed better on dimension reduction in this case.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The electronic nose [1] is a simulation of artificial olfaction using the response of specific gas sensor array to distinguish the gas, mainly used in catering industry, environment, agriculture, perfumes and medical industry [2], [3]. Such as the Digital odor analyzer of England, it has played a significant role in the evaluation of the UK whiskey and tobacco. Compared with the foreign commercialization of electronic nose system, the domestic research of electronic nose system is divided into two aspects, using the existing electronic nose system to do the further research and the homemade electronic nose system. The electronic nose can be described as having three main components: the vapor delivery system, the sensor array, and the pattern recognition algorithm, as is shown in Fig. 1. Obviously, pattern recognition algorithm has become a critical component in the successful implementation of gas sensor arrays and played an important role in odor measurement. A considerable number of pattern recognizers for classification or estimation have been used to identify gas types or predict their concentration. The most popular algorithm for gas qualitative analysis including k-NN (k-Nearest Neighbor) [4], CA(Cluster Analysis) [5], DA (Discriminant Analysis), PCA (Principal Component Analysis), ANN (Artificial Neural Networks), PNN (Probabilistic Neural Network) [6], LVQ (Learning Vector Quantization) [7], SOM (Self-Organizing Map) [8]. The performance of these algorithms is satisfied in gas qualitative analysis especially to PCA and the variations of ANN, but the development for quantitative analysis is unsatisfactory [9]. Algorithms for quantitative analysis include linear regression method like MLR, PCR and the non-linear algorithm like ANN and Fuzzy-ART.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Composed by the experimental sample gas acquisition, sensor array, data acquisition, data processing and pattern recognition, the overall structure of the experimental system was as shown in Fig. 2. At first, preheat the sensor array, passing into the gas to clean the air chamber and making the measure baseline stability. Then injecting the experimental gas and collecting the response of the sensor array. At last, send the experimental data into the PC to process.

Considering that air chamber not only offered the measurement environment, but also was closely related to the density of the measurement gas, we should select the size of air chamber carefully before configuring the amount of the injected gas. The experimental gases used were different densities of ammonia, ethanol gas, and the mixture. Each gas was configured according to the volume of experimental equipment, ammonia was configured by the ammonia water that the density was 0.88g/ml, the content was 25%. As to the configuration of ethanol, taking a gas cylinder and adding 100ml anhydrous ethanol whose density was 0.79g/ml into the cylinder, then sealing the cylinder with rubber stopper and placing the cylinder into the 40°C water bath for 10 minutes. When the pressure of the upper half empty part of the bottle reached 18Kpa, ethanol left in the empty was the saturated ethanol gas. Then we could get the experimental gas after diluting the saturated ethanol gas.

The sensor array was mainly used to detect the experimental gas which included ammonia, ethanol and the mixture of the both. The system selected ammonia, ethanol and their mixture as the experimental gases, which included 13 different concentrations of ammonia and ethanol and 10different concentrations of their mixture, as were shown in Table I. For the purpose of providing sufficient information for pattern recognition...
and ensuring a certain degree of fault tolerance and scalability, the system selected seven different types of sensors mainly sensitive to ammonia gas and alcohols. The model and the performances of sensors were shown in Table II [10].

**TABLE I. THE CONCENTRATIONS OF MIXTURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Mixture (NH3/C3H8OH, ppm/ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80/70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110/100</td>
<td>120/110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140/130</td>
<td>150/140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160/150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE II. MODEL AND PERFORMANCES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>The main monitoring objects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MQ3</td>
<td>Ethanol, gasoline, methane, hexane, propane, CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQ137</td>
<td>Ammonia, hydrogen, ethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQ138</td>
<td>Alcohols, ketones, aldehydes, aromatic compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGS826</td>
<td>Ammonia, alcohol, hydrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGS832</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane, ethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGS2602</td>
<td>Ammonia, alcohol, hydrogen, hydrogen sulfide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGS2620</td>
<td>Ethanol, methane, CO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. RECOGNITION

**A. Data Preprocessing**

Data preprocessing is processing the gas information collected by sensors, including filtering, switching signal and handling characteristics. Experiments show that the baseline of sensor response exist a certain difference in the same and repeated gas experiment, so we should first perform the baseline subtraction to process data. In addition to the interference caused by the sensor itself, the error caused by outside interference also has a great influence on the data. Sensor response is generally larger magnitude difference which is not conducive to recognition algorithm processing. Therefore, normalizing the data is a must, the formula as follow:

\[
X_i = \frac{X_i - V_{\text{min}}}{V_{\text{max}} - V_{\text{min}}} \tag{1}
\]

For “ \(X_i\)” is the response value at a certain time. “ \(V_{\text{max}}\)” is the maximum response value, “ \(V_{\text{min}}\)” is the minimum response value. Experiments show that data preprocessing not only makes the sensor response curve more smoothly so that can eliminate the effects of the environment and the sensor itself, but also minimize the environment factors to the least to improve the accuracy and repeatability of the electronic nose.

**B. Feature Reduction**

The amount of data collected by the sensor array is very large after preforming data acquisition for a period of time. As the sensor array used in the system included seven different sensors, after five minutes of sampling in the sampling frequency 1HZ, the dimensions of data obtained can be 300×7. When the test was repeated ten times, the data will be 3000×7 if put the data together. However, there often exists much irrelevant information and duplication of information in this high dimensional data set. In other words, there exists a large number of feature information that is very weakly correlated with the classification. Therefore, the feature reduction is a must, selecting the lower dimensional set of data from an initial high dimensional set of data to enhance the efficiency of a given work [11]. In this paper, the method of principal component analysis (PCA) and linear discriminant analysis (LDA) are used as the feature reduction before performing the BP neural network qualitative analysis [12].

PCA is the common method of feature reduction which can change the lots of relevant variables into less independent variables. And the first principal component represents variables as much as possible, the second principal component represents the remaining variables as much as possible, and so on. It is a useful statistical technique that has found application in fields such as face recognition and image compression, and is a common technique for finding patterns in data of high dimension. PCA is based on the characteristic vector of multiple parameters analysis. Its implementation can be regarded as is a certain perspective analysis of multidimensional data space, projection, to maximize his in low dimension space projection information. The algorithm flow chart of PCA is shown in Fig. 3.

LDA (Linear Discriminant Analysis) is also named as Fisher Linear Discriminant, is the classical algorithm in the field of pattern recognition. Its basic idea is that projecting the high-dimensional pattern to the best identify vector space, to extract the classification information and the compression feature space dimension, the effect of samples in the new subspace projection guarantee pattern with the maximize distance between the class and the minimum distance within the class. Therefore, it is a valid method of feature extraction, which can make the maximize model samples between with the scattering matrix, and make the minimum scattering matrix within class. LDA can ensure that the pattern of sample has the best class divisibility in space. The implementation step of LDA as follows:

At first, we defined that the sample is \(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\); \(n_i\) is the number of sample i, \(U\) is average of all sample, \(U_i\) is average of sample i.

For discrete matrix between class

\[
S_b = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i(U_i - U)(U_i - U)^T \tag{2}
\]

For discrete matrix within class
\[ S_w = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{X \in \text{class}_i} (U_i - X)(U_i - X)^T \]  \hfill (3)

For a group of optimal identification of vector projection matrix \( W_{opt} \)

\[ W_{opt} = \arg \max \left[ \frac{W^T S_w W}{W^T S_y W} \right] = [w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_d] \]  \hfill (4)

To get the hyper-plane projection of sample \( X \)

\[ Y = W^T X \]  \hfill (5)

**C. Neural Network Recognition**

With the advantage of self-learning, associate storage and finding optimal solutions efficiently, Artificial Neural Networks has a wide range of applications in the field of pattern recognition, especially its application in the qualitative identification of gas [13]. The multilayer forward BP neural network can master the solving rule by itself through the study. Besides, the multilayer forward BP neural network has lots of advantages including the large knowledge capacity, fast calculation, nonlinear approximation ability, the simplicity of algorithm [14]. As to the average gas qualitative issues, it can achieve recognition after a certain amount of sample learning. The flow chart of BP neural network is shown in Fig. 4.

**IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Before the start of the experiment, preheat the sensor for 20 minutes. As to one concentration of gas configured, the experimental workflow was as follows: at first, cleaning the whole experimental system for three minutes, setting aside a certain amount of gas and injecting the gas into the chamber quickly. Then, after the gas was diffused into the all chamber and kept stabilized, heating the sensors and collecting the data for five minutes, the sampling frequency was 1HZ. At last, clean the gas chamber for three minutes after collecting the data.

After the feature extraction and the data normalization, this paper tried two methods to reduce the dimensionality of data, which was principal component analysis (PCA) and linear discriminant analysis (LDA). Each gas of results can be shown as Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. And Fig. 5 indicated the result of each gas of PCA. Fig. 6 indicated the result of each gas of LDA. As to the results of PCA, the horizontal axis represented the first principal component, and the vertical axis represented the second principal component.
Comparing the results of two methods, LDA method was optimal in qualitative judgment for distinguishing three different gases. The following combination with BP neural network gave the quantitative comparison. Considering the number of expected output, set the parameter of BP neural network. In the input layer, the number of neurons was 2; in the output layer, the number of neurons was 3; the number of neurons in the hidden layer was 5. Besides, selecting the S-tangent function as the transfer function of the intermediate layer neurons in BP network, and selecting S-logarithmic function as the transfer function of the output layer neurons [15]. After setting that the error goals were 0.0001, train the network when the network parameters were set. The each number was 130, 130 and 100. Each density had ten data, taking the first seven data as training data, and the last 3 as testing data, then the testing set had 108 data, the result of test was shown in Table III.

**TABLE III. RESULTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Number of errors</th>
<th>Correct rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCA+BP</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>84.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA+BP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V. CONCLUSION

This paper designed a special qualitative analysis algorithm to intelligently identify the ammonia, ethanol and their mixture. After collecting the data of the two single gas and mixtures of two gases, developing the data preprocessing firstly, then we could achieve qualitative identification combining with BP neural network algorithm. The experiment showed that the correct rate of the combination of PCA and BP network is 84.3%, the correct rate of combination of LDA and BP network is 100%. Furthermore, theoretically speaking, LDA was the most suitable method for classification and identification. Changing or adding the experimental gases could enhance the promotional of the algorithm and adding the interfering gases into the experiment could enhance the robust of the algorithm. Establishing a systematic workflow of configuring the gases, standardizing the gas could improve the accuracy of the algorithm. Besides, the algorithm was easy and could be transferred into some embedded systems.
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