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Abstract—Multi-hit Time-to-Digital Converter (TDC) is an important functional unit in high energy physics (HEP) experiments to measure the accurate arrival time of multiple events. In this paper, a design and implementation of 4-channel Multi-hit TDC using Current Balanced Logic delay (CBL) element in 0.35µm CMOS technology is presented. The merit of CBL logic for tapped delay line provides lowest delay attainable for the delay element allowing better resolution in a given technology node compared to current starved inverter. The TDC is based on time stamping technique, where precise reference timing signals are generated from CBL based Tapped Delay Line (TDL). The TDC is operated in common stop mode, where timing of multiple consecutive hits are measured with respect to trigger. Each channel can measure the timing of four hits. The designed bin size is 150ps and dynamic range can be selected from 10µs to 40µs in steps of 10µs.

Index Terms—time-to-digital converter, delay lock loop, current balanced logic

I. INTRODUCTION

Precise measurement of arrival time of random events is needed in HEP experiments. To cater to this requirement, various techniques of TDCs [1]-[10] have been reported earlier. In India based Neutrino Observatory (INO) HEP experiment with 3.2 million detector channels, the TDC required is multi-channel, low cost, low power, with a bin size better than 200ps and dynamic range higher than 2.5µs. In addition to this, capability of measuring the arrival time of multiple events with high double-hit resolution (~5ns) is desirable to calculate the pulse width of the signal, so that timing corrections by using time over threshold can be implemented. In order to meet these requirements, we have designed Multi-hit TDC using 0.35µm CMOS process.

The design of TDC is based on time stamping using Tapped Delay Line (TDL) technique and counter [11]. This technique has been utilized in the development of multi-hit TDCs [12], [13] due to its salient features like small conversion time, multi-channel integration, and large dynamic range. However, the reported bin size (500ps) [13] of TDC depends on the smallest attainable delay from the delay element used to realize TDL. The aim of present design is to achieve the bin size of TDC better than 200ps, which is nearly comparable to the standard buffer delay in 0.35 µm CMOS process. Delay cells with small delays are required for safe designs. This issue has been addressed in [14] by using an area and power inefficient delay interpolation technique (array of delay lock loop) with current starved inverter based delay element. In other approach [15], high speed differential delay element with high static current and small delay regulation range has been used.

In this design, considering the power and area constraints, a fast voltage controlled delay element based on low noise Current Balanced Logic (CBL) [16] is designed. The salient features of this delay element are identical delays in the rising and falling edge transitions, high speed and area efficient as compared to current starved inverter. In addition, the static current in this delay element is less as compared to differential delay element. To provide the delay variation immunity across process and operating condition variations, a Delay Lock Loop (DLL) is designed [17], where single bias voltage controls both the rising and falling edge delays of CBL delay element in the design of multi-hit TDC.

The timing critical blocks in this TDC are DLL, TDL, register, and coarse count synchronizer. They have been designed using full custom analog design approach. The control logic, memory and readout interface are designed using digital design approach with automatic P & R tools. The paper is organized in the following sections: Section 2 discusses the design and implementation of TDC ASIC. Section 3 discusses the simulation results of time measurement.

II. ARCHITECTURE OF MULTI-HIT TDC ASIC

The Multi-hit TDC ASIC consists of following blocks: time stamping block, logic control block, memory & its interface logic and readout interface, as shown in Fig. 1. The time stamping block consists of five time measurement channels including a trigger channel. Each measurement channel stamps the arrival time of four
consecutive hits with respect to a reference clock (100MHz) within the dynamic range window. The duration of dynamic range window is selectable from 10µs to 40µs in steps of 10µs. The design aspects and implementation details of the time measurement channels have been discussed in detail in the sub-section A.

The logic control block is a key element in finding the hits that are associated with the trigger. This block opens a dynamic range window on an external or an internal reset. It also checks for the presence of trigger in the window. It issues a read command to the memory, to read the time measurement channels only if the trigger is present in the window. If the trigger is not present, it generates an internal reset and reopens the window. Hence the scanning for hits that are associated with the trigger is being carried out with the help of this block.

On receiving the read command from the logic control block, the memory interface logic transfers the data from time measurement channels to an in-built memory. Further, the memory is interfaced with readout logic for TDC data transfer to external interface. The readout logic consists of Serial Peripheral Interface (SPI) and parallel interface. Any one of these two can be selected for external interface.

A. Time Stamping in Multi-Hit TDC

In the time stamping block, each measurement channel consists of a pre-processor, a 12-bit counter, TDL, coarse and fine registers, as shown in Fig. 2. The time stamping of multiple consecutive hits is carried out in two parts; coarse measurement using 12-bit counter and fine measurement using TDL. The TDL comprises of a cascaded chain of CBL delay elements.

It provides delayed replicas of reference clock with time interval of \( T_d \). This time interval defines the bin size of time stamping and depends on the smallest delay from CBL delay element.

The CBL delay element provides smallest delay of 135ps (at \( V_{dd} = 3.6V \)) and 150ps (at \( V_{dd} = 3.3V \)) in the used technology. For the smallest delay of 135ps, the number of delay elements in the delay line ‘N’ is equal to 74 as per equation (1), where \( T_{ref} = 10ns \). The control voltage provided by DLL assures that, the delay elements are immune to PVT variations.

\[
T_{ref} = N \times T_d
\]  

Moreover, the double hit resolution is a crucial design aspect in time stamping for the consecutive hits. It defines the minimum measurable time interval between consecutive hits. Its specified value in INO experiment is 5ns. The TDL with single fine register cannot measure two consecutive hits occurring within its range (10ns), hence four fine registers are replicated, one for each hit. The TDL is dedicated for these registers and thus provides time stamping of consecutive hits with high double hit resolution. The pre-processor block separates these four hits (hit1 to hit4) comprised in the multi-hit signal for their time stamping.

Each hit signal obtained from the pre-processor, samples and latches the status of TDL (delayed clocks) and coarse counter to 74-bit fine register and 12-bit coarse register respectively. This provides coarse time measurement equivalent to full clock cycles and fine time measurement equivalent to fractional time within one clock period (10ns) as shown in Fig. 2(b).
In coarse time measurement, the ‘hit’ is asynchronous to the operation of counter while sampling and latching its status. This may cause timing errors in measurement due to metastability and dead zone in coarse register. Moreover synchronization in coarse and fine counts is required to avoid an error of one clock period. A coarse count synchronizer shown in Fig. 3 based on the dual synchronization method [18] is designed to avoid the timing errors. Here ‘hit’ is synchronized to both the rising and falling edge of clock. A multiplexer is used to select the synchronized version ‘1’ or ‘2’ of hit as per its position within positive (‘a’) or negative (‘b’) half cycle of clock (Fig. 3(a)). Using sample 1 or 2, the ‘syn_hit’ is generated at falling edge of clock to sample and latch the counter when it is in idle state. It latches the state of counter (Nc) with one extra count, so one count is needed to be subtracted. Further, as fine counts are added to the coarse count, its accounted value is ‘Nc-2’ in arrival time calculation.

In fine time measurement, the first logic ‘1’ to logic ‘0’ transition in the 74-bit fine register gives the fine count ‘Nf’. A transition detector based on magnitude comparison between two consecutive bits of fine register detects the first logic ‘1’ to ‘0’ transition. The encoder converts the 74-bit count to 7-bit binary value to reduce the number of bits of fine time measurement. It has a feature to get disabled once detection of first logic ‘1’ to ‘0’ transition is completed. The 21-bit timing data consisting of 12-bit coarse (NC), 7-bit fine count (Nf) and 2-bit hit ID corresponding to each hit, is stored in the register.

The arrival time of ‘hit’ is given by:

$$T = (N_c - 2) \times T_{ref} + N_f \times T_d$$

Figure 2. TDC channel circuit (a) block diagram (b) timing diagram.

Figure 3. (a) Timing diagram (b) schematic diagram of coarse count synchronizer.
The delay of CBL delay element is regulated by DLL across process and operating conditions. However, it is needed to be calibrated before calculation of arrival time of hits using (2). The accurate delay of the CBL delay element is found by measuring two known internal time intervals of 10 ns and 5 ns between 'calstart' and 'calstop'. The time interval is generated by using reference clock and calibration is initiated by asserting 'bincalibration' (Fig. 2). The delay of CBL delay element $T_d$ is given by difference of measured time interval using equation (3), where $N_{f1}$ and $N_{f2}$ are the counts of fine register corresponding to 10 ns and 5 ns respectively. The delay calibration is carried out periodically.

$$T_d = (10 \text{ ns} - 5 \text{ ns}) / (N_{f1} - N_{f2})$$ (3)

III. SIMULATION RESULTS

The design is verified using a mixed mode simulator. The post layout delay characteristic of CBL delay element is verified across five design process corners using Spectre simulator. Fig. 4(a) shows the delay versus control voltage characteristics of CBL delay element with identical rising and falling edge delays. Fig. 4(b) shows the delay characteristic across design process corners. On the worst case slow corner (WS), the best attainable delay from CBL delay element is 200 ps. On typical corner, the delay of CBL delay element is 150 ps at $V_{dd} = 3.3$ V and control voltage of 0.3 V.

The performance of TDC channels is tested using Verilog test bench. The linearity of measured time characteristics is verified by applying linear sweep patterns of multi-hit in steps of 300 ps with respect to trigger over 20 ns range as shown in Fig. 5(a). Fig. 5(b) shows the plot between measured relative time of hits versus applied time steps on typical (TYP) corner. This test is also performed across slow (WS) and fast (WP) design process corners for the bin size of $T_d = 200$ ps (control voltage = 0.1 V) and $T_d = 150$ ps (control voltage = 1.5 V) respectively. The plots of measured characteristics are shown in Fig. 6(a, b).
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The performance over full dynamic range of 40 µs is verified by selecting values of 2-bit dr_sel as ‘11’. A linear sweep of multi-hits patterns with time steps of 223 ns with respect to trigger is applied to TDC channel. Fig. 7 shows the plot of relative time versus applied time step for hit1 on TYP corner.

![Figure 7. Plot between relative time versus applied time for hit 1 over 40µs range](image)

Table I shows the performance comparison with earlier reported TDCs based on the same technique. The significant improvement is achieved by using CBL delay element. It provides the bin size better than 200ps by using single DLL. This features a reduction in power consumption as compared to ADLL based TDCs. In addition to this, this delay element has identical delays in rising and falling edge transitions. This avoids the duty cycle correction circuit used in [19] to assure tapped delay matching in fine time measurement. Also, both edge delays are controlled by using single bias voltage. Further, in [1], [14], dual counter method is used in coarse time measurement; where opposite phases of clocks are applied to two counters with two coarse registers. At the time of occurrence of ‘hit’, at least one of the register is in stable state. This requires two coarse registers for each hit. In this design, the coarse count synchronizer uses only three flip-flops and multiplexer and requires single coarse counter and register. Hence, it further reduces the area and power consumption as compared to dual counter method.

### Table I. Performance Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>This work ***</th>
<th>[1]</th>
<th>[2]</th>
<th>[12]</th>
<th>[13]</th>
<th>[14]</th>
<th>[20]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architecture of DLL</td>
<td>Single DLL</td>
<td>Single DLL</td>
<td>Array of DLL</td>
<td>Single DLL</td>
<td>PLL with ring oscillator</td>
<td>Array of DLL</td>
<td>Single DLL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>0.35µm CMOS</td>
<td>1µm CMOS</td>
<td>1µm CMOS</td>
<td>1µm CMOS</td>
<td>0.3µm CMOS gate array</td>
<td>0.7µm CMOS</td>
<td>0.35µm CMOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bin Size</td>
<td>150ps</td>
<td>1.56ns</td>
<td>154ps</td>
<td>500ps</td>
<td>780ps</td>
<td>89.3ps</td>
<td>250ps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic range (10µs /20µs /30µs /40µs)</td>
<td>204.8ns</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>4.2ns</td>
<td>100µs</td>
<td>3.2µs</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double hit resolution</td>
<td>~1ns</td>
<td>Single hit</td>
<td>Single hit</td>
<td>8ns</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>Single hit</td>
<td>Single hit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clock frequency</td>
<td>100MHz</td>
<td>40MHz</td>
<td>65MHz</td>
<td>125MHz</td>
<td>80MHz</td>
<td>80MHz</td>
<td>32MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture of Delay element</td>
<td>Current Balanced DE</td>
<td>CSI**</td>
<td>CSI**</td>
<td>CSI**</td>
<td>CSI**</td>
<td>CSI**</td>
<td>CSI**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>50mW</td>
<td>10mW</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>500mW</td>
<td>800mW</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture of coarse count latching scheme</td>
<td>Dual edge synchronizer</td>
<td>Dual Counter</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Dual Counter</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output code of Fine counter</td>
<td>Non-TH code corresponding to first ‘1’ to ‘0’ transition</td>
<td>*TH code</td>
<td>*TH code</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>*TH code</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TH code – thermometer code, **CSI – current starved inverter, ***Comparison has been made in terms of architecture of basic blocks and design specification using Post Layout Simulation results (av- extracted)

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

The 4-channel multi-hit TDC ASIC design using CBL delay element achieves bin size of 150ps over selectable dynamic range windows of durations from 10µs to 40µs in steps of 10µs. The design achieves a double hit resolution of better than 5ns. The design is tested for its linearity and robustness across design process corners. The issue of small delays in 0.35µm CMOS technology is successfully mitigated by using CBL logic.
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